

**REPORT OF THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
END OF LIFE DECISIONS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FEBRUARY 4, 2008**

BACKGROUND

In December 2005, the Judicial Council assigned to its End of Life Decisions Advisory Committee (Committee) the task of reviewing Kansas statutes relating to durable powers of attorney for health care decisions and other advance directives such as living wills with the goal of consolidating these statutes into a single act. The Committee was also asked to consider including new provisions as appropriate to a comprehensive advance directives act.

COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

The following persons served on the Committee during the study:

Gerald L. Goodell, Chair, Topeka, practicing lawyer and member of Kansas Judicial Council.

Bob Bethell, Alden, State Representative from the 113th district and Chair of the Social Services Budget Committee.

Terry Bruce, Hutchinson, State Senator from the 34th district, Vice-chair of the Senate Judiciary Committee and practicing lawyer.

Sam K. Bruner, Overland Park, Retired District Judge from the 10th Judicial District and Chair of the Judicial Council Guardianship and Conservatorship Advisory Committee.

John G. Carney, Kansas City, Vice-President of Aging and End of Life at the Center for Practical Bioethics, specializing in the ethical dimensions of decision making at the end of life.

William H. Colby, Prairie Village, Lawyer, represented the family of Nancy Cruzan, author of Long Goodbye: The Deaths of Nancy Cruzan and Unplugged: Reclaiming Our Right to Die in America, fellow at the Center for Practical Bioethics in Kansas City, Missouri.

Anne-Marie Hughey, Shawnee, Legislative and Policy Advocate for the Southeast Kansas Independent Living Resource Center and former Executive Director of the National Council on Independent Living.

Lance Kinzer, Olathe, State Representative from the 14th District, Vice-chair of the House Judiciary Committee and practicing lawyer.

Sandy Kuhlman, Phillipsburg, State Chair of the Kansas Hospice and Palliative Care Organization.

Kirk Lowry, Topeka, Litigation Director of the Disability Rights Center of Kansas.

Hon. Anthony Powell, Wichita, District Court Judge for the 18th Judicial District.

Tom Welk, Wichita, Catholic priest with a doctorate in medical ethics and Director of Professional Education and Pastoral Care at Harry Hynes Memorial Hospice.

Charles W. Wurth, Wichita, Chairman of the Board of Kansas Health Ethics, owner and operator of nursing homes and former Executive Director of the Institute of Logopedics.

Craig H. Yorke, Topeka, physician, with a specialty in neurosurgery.

METHOD AND STUDY

The Committee held 11 meetings on the topic between May 2006 and January 2008. As part of its study, the Committee reviewed Kansas statutes relating to durable power of attorney for health care decisions (K.S.A. 58-625 through 58-632) and the Natural Death Act (K.S.A. 65-28,101 *et seq.*). The Committee also considered recommending new statutory provisions relating to surrogate decision-making and permission for health-care providers to refuse medically ineffective health care. While Kansas currently lacks these kinds of statutory provisions, they are relatively common in other jurisdictions.

After a brief attempt to simply update the Kansas statutes, the Committee decided that a better approach would be to study the Uniform Health-Care Decisions Act as a possible replacement for the current Kansas statutes on durable powers of attorney for health care decisions and living wills (the Natural Death Act). In addition to consolidating the law on advance directives into a single act, the Uniform Act contains some of the new concepts such as surrogacy which the Committee wanted to consider for recommendation.

The Committee members brought a wide range of knowledge, expertise, and differing points of view to the study. The Committee also invited participation from other interested persons. At different times during the study, the following persons attended meetings and offered input: Kathy Ostrowski, Legislative Director of Kansans for Life; Burke Balch, Director of the National Right to Life Committee's Robert Powell Center for Medical Ethics; and Deborah Stern, Vice President and Legal Counsel for the Kansas Hospital Association. The Committee

also considered an advisory opinion from the Robert Powell Center for Medical Ethics containing proposed amendments to the Uniform Health Care Decisions Act.

RECOMMENDATION

After much discussion and debate, the Committee by a vote of 8-1 agreed to recommend the attached Kansas Uniform Health-Care Decisions Act for adoption. The Committee made substantial amendments to the Uniform Act, many of which are based on current Kansas law. The attached draft contains Kansas comments which explain how and why the Committee amended the Uniform Act.

The Uniform Act, as amended by the Committee, represents a compromise position on the very difficult and emotionally-charged issues surrounding end of life decision-making. As an example of one such compromise, the Committee found the issue of withholding or withdrawing nutrition and hydration to be a controversial one. Some believe that providing nutrition and hydration through medical intervention is no different from any other kind of medical treatment; however, others view nutrition and hydration as fundamental necessities which should always be provided, no matter what decision is made as to other kinds of medical treatment. Recognizing this dichotomy, the Committee agreed that decisions regarding nutrition and hydration required special treatment. Accordingly, the Committee amended to the Uniform Act to require that any individual instruction or power of attorney for health care address nutrition and hydration provided through medical intervention in a separately initialed or signed section of the directive to ensure that the individual's intent is clearly expressed.

KANSAS UNIFORM HEALTH-CARE DECISIONS ACT

WITH PREFATORY NOTE AND COMMENTS

As amended by the Judicial Council
End of Life Decisions Advisory Committee

GENERAL KANSAS COMMENT

In December 2005, the Kansas Judicial Council assigned to its End of Life Decisions Advisory Committee the task of reviewing Kansas statutes relating to durable powers of attorney for health care decisions and other advance directives such as living wills with the goal of consolidating these statutes into a single act. The Committee was also asked to consider including new provisions as appropriate to a comprehensive advance directives act. In order to achieve this goal, the Committee chose to study the Uniform Health-Care Decisions Act as a possible replacement for the current Kansas statutes on durable powers of attorney for health care decisions (K.S.A. 58-625 through 58-632) and living wills (the Natural Death Act, K.S.A. 65-28,101 *et seq.*). In addition to consolidating the law on advance directives into a single act, the Uniform Act contains some new concepts such as surrogate decision-making which the Committee wished to consider for recommendation.

After thorough review and discussion, the Committee recommends adoption of the Uniform Health-Care Decisions Act with substantial Kansas amendments. This draft contains Kansas comments which explain how and why the Committee chose to amend the Uniform Act. Many of those amendments come from existing Kansas law. The most substantial changes that the Committee made to the Uniform Act were to require that an advance health-care directive be signed by two witnesses or notarized and to require a separately signed or marked section dealing with a person's decision about the withholding or withdrawal of nutrition and hydration provided through medical intervention.

As amended, the Uniform Act represents a significant improvement over current Kansas law. The law regarding durable powers of attorney and other kinds of advance directives will now be located in a single Act. Instead of the two separate forms that are used under the current statutory scheme, a single form will be published by the Judicial Council which will contain sections covering the appointment of an agent, specific instructions about health care decision, and organ donation. Also, the Uniform Act is more comprehensive in its coverage of issues relating to advance directives. For example, it includes provisions relating to surrogate decision-making and permission for health-care providers to refuse medically ineffective health care.

UNIFORM HEALTH-CARE DECISIONS ACT

PREFATORY NOTE

Since the Supreme Court's decision in *Cruzan v. Commissioner, Missouri Department of Health*, 497 U.S. 261 (1990), significant change has occurred in state legislation on health-care decision making. Every state now has legislation

authorizing the use of some sort of advance health-care directive. All but a few states authorize what is typically known as a living will. Nearly all states have statutes authorizing the use of powers of attorney for health care. In addition, a majority of states have statutes allowing family members, and in some cases close friends, to make health-care decisions for adult individuals who lack capacity.

This state legislation, however, has developed in fits and starts, resulting in an often fragmented, incomplete, and sometimes inconsistent set of rules. Statutes enacted within a state often conflict and conflicts between statutes of different states are common. In an increasingly mobile society where an advance health-care directive given in one state must frequently be implemented in another, there is a need for greater uniformity.

The Health-Care Decisions Act was drafted with this confused situation in mind. The Act is built around the following concepts. First, the Act acknowledges the right of a competent individual to decide all aspects of his or her own health care in all circumstances, including the right to decline health care or to direct that health care be discontinued, even if death ensues. An individual's instructions may extend to any and all health-care decisions that might arise and, unless limited by the principal, an agent has authority to make all health-care decisions which the individual could have made. The Act recognizes and validates an individual's authority to define the scope of an instruction or agency as broadly or as narrowly as the individual chooses.

Second, the Act is comprehensive and will enable an enacting jurisdiction to replace its existing legislation on the subject with a single statute. The Act authorizes health-care decisions to be made by an agent who is designated to decide when an individual cannot or does not wish to; by a designated surrogate, family member, or close friend when an individual is unable to act and no guardian or agent has been appointed or is reasonably available; or by a court having jurisdiction as decision maker of last resort.

Third, the Act is designed to simplify and facilitate the making of advance health-care directives. An instruction may be either written or oral. A power of attorney for health care, while it must be in writing, need not be witnessed or acknowledged. In addition, an optional form for the making of a directive is provided.

Fourth, the Act seeks to ensure to the extent possible that decisions about an individual's health care will be governed by the individual's own desires concerning the issues to be resolved. The Act requires an agent or surrogate authorized to make health-care decisions for an individual to make those decisions in accordance with the instructions and other wishes of the individual to the extent

known. Otherwise, the agent or surrogate must make those decisions in accordance with the best interest of the individual but in light of the individual's personal values known to the agent or surrogate. Furthermore, the Act requires a guardian to comply with a ward's previously given instructions and prohibits a guardian from revoking the ward's advance health-care directive without express court approval.

Fifth, the Act addresses compliance by health-care providers and institutions. A health-care provider or institution must comply with an instruction of the patient and with a reasonable interpretation of that instruction or other healthcare decision made by a person then authorized to make health-care decisions for the patient. The obligation to comply is not absolute, however. A health-care provider or institution may decline to honor an instruction or decision for reasons of conscience or if the instruction or decision requires the provision of medically ineffective care or care contrary to applicable health-care standards.

Sixth, the Act provides a procedure for the resolution of disputes. While the Act is in general to be effectuated without litigation, situations will arise where resort to the courts may be necessary. For that reason, the Act authorizes the court to enjoin or direct a health-care decision or order other equitable relief and specifies who is entitled to bring a petition.

The Health-Care Decisions Act supersedes the Commissioners' Model Health-Care Consent Act (1982), the Uniform Rights of the Terminally Ill Act (1985), and the Uniform Rights of the Terminally Ill Act (1989). A state enacting the Health-Care Decisions Act which has one of these other acts in force should repeal it upon enactment.

KANSAS UNIFORM HEALTH-CARE DECISIONS ACT

SECTION 1. DEFINITIONS. In this [Act]:

- (1) “Advance health-care directive” means an individual instruction or a power of attorney for health care.
- (2) “Agent” means an individual designated in a power of attorney for health care to make a health-care decision for the individual granting the power.
- (3) “Capacity” means an individual’s ability to understand to a minimally reasonable extent the significant benefits, risks, and alternatives to proposed health care and to make and communicate a health-care decision with reasonable accommodation, interpreter, or assistive technology when needed. A determination by a physician that an individual lacks capacity does not constitute a determination that the individual is incompetent as a matter of law.
- (4) “Guardian” means a judicially appointed guardian ~~or conservator~~ as defined by K.S.A. 59-3051(e), and amendments thereto, having authority to make a health-care decision for an individual.
- (5) “Health care” means any care, treatment, service, or procedure to maintain, diagnose, or otherwise affect an individual’s physical or mental condition.
- (6) “Health-care decision” means a decision made by an individual or the individual’s agent, guardian, or surrogate, regarding the individual’s health care, including:
 - (i) selection and discharge of health-care providers and institutions;
 - (ii) approval or disapproval of diagnostic tests, surgical procedures, programs of medication, and orders not to resuscitate; and
 - (iii) directions to provide, withhold, or withdraw ~~artificial~~ nutrition and hydration provided through medical intervention and all other forms of health care.
- (7) “Health-care institution” means an institution, facility, or agency licensed, certified, or otherwise authorized or permitted by law to provide health care in the ordinary course of business.
- (8) “Health-care provider” means an individual licensed, certified, or otherwise authorized or permitted by Kansas law to provide health care in the ordinary

course of business or practice of a profession.

(9) “Individual instruction” means an individual’s direction concerning a health-care decision for the individual.

(10) “Life-sustaining procedure” means any medical procedure or intervention which, when applied to a patient, would serve only to prolong the dying process and where, in the judgment of the primary physician, death will occur whether or not such procedure or intervention is utilized. “Life-sustaining procedure” shall not include the administration of medication or the performance of any medical procedure deemed necessary to provide comfort care or to alleviate pain.

~~(10~~ 11) “Person” means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, association, joint venture, government, governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or any other legal or commercial entity.

~~(11~~ 12) “Physician” means an individual authorized a person licensed to practice medicine or surgery by the state board of healing arts ~~[or osteopathy] under [appropriate statute].~~

~~(12~~ 13) “Power of attorney for health care” means the designation of an agent to make health-care decisions for the individual granting the power.

~~(13~~ 14) “Primary physician” means a physician designated by an individual or the individual’s agent, guardian, or surrogate, to have primary responsibility for the individual’s health care or, in the absence of a designation or if the designated physician is not reasonably available, a physician who undertakes the responsibility.

~~(14~~ 15) “Reasonably available” means readily able to be contacted without undue effort and willing and able to act in a timely manner considering the urgency of the ~~patient’s~~ person’s health-care needs.

(16) "Reasonable medical judgment" means a medical judgment that would be made by a reasonably prudent physician who is knowledgeable about the case and the treatment possibilities with respect to the medical conditions involved.

~~(15~~ 17) “State” means a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or a territory or insular possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.

~~(16~~ 18) “Supervising health-care provider” means the primary physician or, if there is no primary physician or the primary physician is not reasonably available, the health-care provider who has undertaken primary responsibility for an individual’s health care.

(17 19) “Surrogate” means an individual, other than a patient’s person’s agent or guardian, authorized under this [Act] to make a health-care decision for the patient-person.

Kansas Comment

The definition of "capacity" (subsection (3)) was amended to indicate that a person need only be able to understand " to a minimally reasonable extent" and that a person need only be able to make and communicate decisions "with reasonable accommodation, interpreter, or assistive technology when needed." The last sentence of the definition was added in order to clarify that capacity is a clinical determination while competency is a legal determination.

The definition of "guardian" (subsection (4)) was amended to strike the words "or conservator" because Kansas law does not grant health-care decision making authority to a conservator. The definition was also amended to cross-reference K.S.A. 59-3051(e) which defines "guardian" for purposes of the guardianship and conservatorship act.

The definition of "health-care decision" (subsection (6)(iii)) was amended to change the term "artificial nutrition and hydration" to "nutrition and hydration provided through medical intervention." The Committee felt that the word "artificial" was objectionable because nutrition and hydration itself is not artificial; rather, it is the method through which nutrition and hydration is provided that may be artificial.

The definition of "health-care provider" (subsection (8)) was amended by inserting the word "Kansas" before "law."

New subsection (10) defining “life-sustaining procedure” was taken from current Kansas law, K.S.A. 65-28,102(c) (Natural Death Act). The term is used in Section 2.

The definition of "physician" (subsection (12)) was replaced with the current Kansas definition of "physician" as found in the Natural Death Act at K.S.A. 65-28,102.

New subsection (16) defining "reasonable medical judgment" was drafted by the Robert Powell Center for Medical Ethics and submitted by Kathy Ostrowski of Kansans for Life. This definition relates to Section 2, new subsection (d), which sets out when nutrition and hydration provided through medical intervention may be withheld or withdrawn.

UHCDA Comment

The term “advance health-care directive” (subsection (1)) appears in the

federal Patient Self-Determination Act enacted as sections 4206 and 4751 of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 and has gained widespread usage among health-care professionals.

The definition of “agent” (subsection (2)) is not limited to a single individual. The Act permits the appointment of co-agents and alternate agents.

The definition of “guardian” (subsection (4)) recognizes that some states grant health-care decision making authority to a conservator of the person.

The definition of “health care” (subsection (5)) is to be given the broadest possible construction. It includes the types of care referred to in the definition of “health-care decision” (subsection (6)), and to care, including custodial care, provided at a “health-care institution” (subsection (7)). It also includes nonmedical remedial treatment such as practiced by adherents of Christian Science.

The term “health-care institution” (subsection (7)) includes a hospital, nursing home, residential-care facility, home health agency or hospice.

The term “individual instruction” (subsection (9)) includes any type of written or oral direction concerning health-care treatment. The direction may range from a written document which is intended to be effective at a future time if certain specified conditions arise and for which a form is provided in Section 4, to the written consent required before surgery is performed, to oral directions concerning care recorded in the health-care record. The instruction may relate to a particular health-care decision or to health care in general.

The definition of “person” (subsection (10)) includes a limited liability company, which falls within the category of “other legal or commercial entity.”

Because states differ on the classes of professionals who may lawfully practice medicine, the definition of “physician” (subsection (11)) cross-references the appropriate licensing or other statute.

The Act employs the term “primary physician” (subsection (13)) instead of “attending physician.” The term “attending physician” could be understood to refer to any physician providing treatment to the individual, and not to the physician whom the individual, or agent, guardian, or surrogate, has designated or, in the absence of a designation, the physician who has undertaken primary responsibility for the individual’s health care.

The term “reasonably available” (subsection (14)) is used in the Act to accommodate the reality that individuals will sometimes not be timely available.

The term is incorporated into the definition of “supervising health-care provider” (subsection (16)). It appears in the optional statutory form (Section 4) to indicate when an alternate agent may act. In Section 5 it is used to determine when a surrogate will be authorized to make health-care decisions for an individual, and if so, which class of individuals has authority to act.

The definition of “supervising health-care provider” (subsection (16)) accommodates the circumstance that frequently arises where care or supervision by a physician may not be readily available. The individual’s primary physician is to assume the role, however, if reasonably available. For the contexts in which the term is used, see Sections 3, 5, and 7.

The definition of “surrogate” (subsection (17)) refers to the individual having present authority under Section 5 to make a health-care decision for a patient. It does not include an individual who might have such authority under a given set of circumstances which have not occurred.

SECTION 2. ADVANCE HEALTH-CARE DIRECTIVES.

(a) An adult or emancipated minor may give an individual instruction. The instruction may be oral or written, except that an instruction directing the withholding or withdrawal of life-sustaining procedures must be in writing and signed by the principal or by another person in the principal's presence and by the principal's expressed direction. The instruction may be limited to take effect only if a specified condition arises.

(b) An adult or emancipated minor may execute a power of attorney for health care, which may authorize the agent to make any health-care decision the principal could have made while having capacity. The power must be in writing and signed by the principal. The power remains in effect notwithstanding the principal's later incapacity and may include individual instructions. Unless related to the principal by blood, marriage, or adoption, an agent may not be an owner, operator, or employee of [a residential long-term health-care institution] at which the principal is receiving care.

(c) An individual instruction directing the withholding or withdrawal of life-sustaining procedures or a power of attorney for health care shall be (1) signed in the presence of two or more witnesses at least 18 years of age neither of whom shall be the agent, the person who signed the individual instruction on behalf of the principal, related to the principal by blood, marriage or adoption, entitled to any portion of the estate of the principal according to the laws of intestate succession of this state or under any will of the principal or codicil thereto, or directly financially responsible for the principal's medical care; or (2) acknowledged before a notary public.

(d) If a person has executed, and has not revoked, an individual instruction directing the withholding or withdrawal of life-sustaining procedures or a power of attorney for health care, and if withholding or withdrawal of nutrition or hydration provided through medical intervention would in reasonable medical judgment be likely to result in or hasten the death of the person, it may be withheld or withdrawn if and only if the instruction specifically authorizes the withholding or withdrawal of nutrition and/or hydration provided through medical intervention, or the power of attorney for health care either specifically authorizes its withholding or withdrawal or authorizes the agent to direct its withholding or withdrawal, either by a statement in the signer's own words or in a separate section, separate paragraph, or other separate subdivision that deals only with nutrition and/or hydration provided through medical intervention and which section, paragraph, or other subdivision is separately initialed, separately signed or otherwise separately marked by the person executing the directive.

(e e) Unless otherwise specified in a power of attorney for health care, the authority of an agent becomes effective only upon a determination that the principal lacks capacity, and ceases to be effective upon a determination that the principal

has recovered capacity.

(d f) Unless otherwise specified in a written advance health-care directive, a determination that an individual lacks or has recovered capacity, or that another condition exists that affects an individual instruction or the authority of an agent, must be made by the primary physician.

(e g) An agent shall make a health-care decision in accordance with the principal's individual instructions, if any, and other wishes to the extent known to the agent. ~~Otherwise, the agent shall make the decision in accordance with the agent's determination of the principal's best interest. In determining the principal's best interest, the agent shall consider the principal's personal values to the extent known to the agent. The power of an agent shall be limited to the extent set out in writing in the power of attorney for health care, and shall not include the power to revoke or invalidate a previously existing declaration by the principal.~~

(f h) A health-care decision made by an agent for a principal is effective without judicial approval.

(g i) A written advance health-care directive may include the individual's nomination of a guardian of the person.

(h j) An advance health-care directive is valid for purposes of this [Act] if it complies with this [Act], regardless of when or where executed or communicated.

(k) An individual instruction made before July 1, 2009, shall not be limited or otherwise affected by the provisions of this act. A power of attorney executed before July 1, 2009, that specifically authorizes the attorney in fact or agent to make decisions relating to the health care of the principal shall not be limited or otherwise affected by the provisions of this act.

(l) Any individual instruction which is valid under the laws of the state of the principal's residence at the time the individual instruction was made shall be an individual instruction under this act. Any power of attorney for health care which is valid under the laws of the state of the principal's residence at the time the power of attorney for health care was signed, shall be a power of attorney for health care under this act. All acts taken by an agent in this state under such a power of attorney for health care, which would be valid under the laws of this state, shall be valid acts. All acts taken by an agent for a principal whose residence is Kansas at the time the power of attorney for health care is signed shall be valid if valid under Kansas law.

Kansas Comment

While the Committee agreed that a patient should be able to make individual instructions

regarding general health-care decisions either orally or in writing, many Committee members disapproved of the idea of allowing a patient to make oral instructions directing the withholding or withdrawal of life-sustaining procedures. Thus, the Committee amended subsection (a) to provide that a individual instruction regarding the withholding or withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment must be in writing. The language was taken from current K.S.A. 65-28,103.

New subsection (c) requires that an individual instruction directing the withholding or withdrawal of life-sustaining procedures or a power of attorney for health care be signed by two witnesses or notarized. The Committee agreed that it preferred the stricter requirements of current Kansas law which provides that both durable powers of attorney for health care decisions and living wills must be signed by two witnesses or notarized. The language of new subsection (c) is an amalgam of K.S.A. 58-629(e) (DPOA) and K.S.A. 65-28,103(a) (Natural Death Act).

New subsection (d) requires that an individual instruction or power of attorney for health care address nutrition and hydration provided through medical intervention in a separately initialed or signed section of the directive. Due to the controversial nature of decisions regarding withholding or withdrawal of nutrition and hydration, the Committee agreed these decisions require special treatment. The substance of new subsection (d) was drafted by the Robert Powell Center for Medical Ethics and submitted by Kathy Ostrowski of Kansans for Life.

Subsection (e) (now (g)) was amended to limit the power of an agent to what is expressed in the power of attorney. A concern was raised that an agent should not be substituting his or her judgment for that of the patient based on the agent's estimate of the patient's quality of life or what is in the "best interests" of the patient. The new language in the last sentence comes from current K.S.A. 58-629(b).

New subsection (k) is a grandfather clause which ensures that preexisting directives which do not comply with the requirements of the new Act will not be thereby rendered ineffective. The language of subsection (k) is patterned on current K.S.A. 58-631.

New subsection (l) addresses the portability of advance directives from other states. The language of subsection (l) is patterned on current K.S.A. 58-630.

UHCDA Comment

The individual instruction authorized in subsection (a) may but need not be limited to take effect in specified circumstances, such as if the individual is dying. An individual instruction may be either written or oral.

Subsection (b) authorizes a power of attorney for health care to include instructions regarding the principal's health care. This provision has been included

in order to validate the practice of designating an agent and giving individual instructions in one document instead of two. The authority of an agent falls within the discretion of the principal as expressed in the instrument creating the power and may extend to any health-care decision the principal could have made while having capacity.

Subsection (b) excludes the oral designation of an agent. Section 5(b) authorizes an individual to orally designate a surrogate by personally informing the supervising health-care provider. A power of attorney for health care, however, must be in writing and signed by the principal, although it need not be witnessed or acknowledged.

Subsection (b) also limits those who may serve as agents to make healthcare decisions for another. The subsection addresses the special vulnerability of individuals in residential long-term health-care institutions by protecting a principal against those who may have interests that conflict with the duty to follow the principal's expressed wishes or to determine the principal's best interest. Specifically, the owners, operators or employees of a residential long-term healthcare institution at which the principal is receiving care may not act as agents. An exception is made for those related to the principal by blood, marriage or adoption, relationships which are assumed to neutralize any consequence of a conflict of interest adverse to the principal. The phrase "a residential long-term health-care institution" is placed in brackets to indicate to the legislature of an enacting jurisdiction that it should substitute the appropriate terminology used under local law.

Subsection (c) provides that the authority of the agent to make health-care decisions ordinarily does not become effective until the principal is determined to lack capacity and ceases to be effective should the principal recover capacity. A principal may provide, however, that the authority of the agent becomes effective immediately or upon the happening of some event other than the loss of capacity but may do so only by an express provision in the power of attorney. For example, a mother who does not want to make her own health-care decisions but prefers that her daughter make them for her may specify that the daughter as agent is to have authority to make health-care decisions immediately. The mother in that circumstance retains the right to later revoke the power of attorney as provided in Section 3.

Subsection (d) provides that unless otherwise specified in a written advance health-care directive, a determination that a principal has lost or recovered capacity to make health-care decisions must be made by the primary physician. For example, a principal might specify that the determination of capacity is to be made by the agent in consultation with the primary physician. Or a principal, such as a

member of the Christian Science faith who relies on a religious method of healing and who has no primary physician, might specify that capacity be determined by other means. In the event that multiple decision makers are specified and they cannot agree, it may be necessary to seek court instruction as authorized by Section 14.

Subsection (d) also provides that unless otherwise specified in a written advance health-care directive, the existence of other conditions which affect an individual instruction or the authority of an agent must be determined by the primary physician. For example, an individual might specify that an agent may withdraw or withhold treatment that keeps the individual alive only if the individual has an incurable and irreversible condition that will result in the individual's death within a relatively short time. In that event, unless otherwise specified in the advance health-care directive, the determination that the individual has that condition must be made by the primary physician.

Subsection (e) requires the agent to follow the principal's individual instructions and other expressed wishes to the extent known to the agent. To the extent such instructions or other wishes are unknown, the agent must act in the principal's best interest. In determining the principal's best interest, the agent is to consider the principal's personal values to the extent known to the agent. The Act does not prescribe a detailed list of factors for determining the principal's best interest but instead grants the agent discretion to ascertain and weigh the factors likely to be of importance to the principal. The legislature of an enacting jurisdiction that wishes to add such a list may want to consult the Maryland Health-Care Decision Act, Md. Health-Gen. Code Ann. § 5-601.

Subsection (f) provides that a health-care decision made by an agent is effective without judicial approval. A similar provision applies to health-care decisions made by surrogates (Section 5(g)) or guardians (Section 6(c)).

Subsection (g) provides that a written advance health-care directive may include the individual's nomination of a guardian of the person. A nomination cannot guarantee that the nominee will be appointed but in the absence of cause to appoint another the court would likely select the nominee. Moreover, the mere nomination of the agent will reduce the likelihood that a guardianship could be used to thwart the agent's authority.

Subsection (h) validates advance health-care directives which conform to the Act, regardless of when or where executed or communicated. This includes an advance health-care directive which would be valid under the Act but which was made prior to the date of its enactment and failed to comply with the execution requirements then in effect. It also includes an advance health-care directive which

was made in another jurisdiction but which does not comply with that jurisdiction's execution or other requirements.

SECTION 3. REVOCATION OF ADVANCE HEALTH-CARE DIRECTIVE.

(a) An individual may revoke the designation of an agent only by a signed writing or by personally informing the supervising health-care provider.

(b) An individual may revoke all or part of an advance health-care directive, other than the designation of an agent, at any time and in any manner that communicates an intent to revoke.

(a) An individual may revoke a written advance health-care directive at any time by any of the following methods:

(1) By obliterating, burning, tearing, or otherwise destroying or defacing the advance health-care directive in a manner indicating intent to cancel;

(2) By a written revocation of the advance health-care directive signed and dated by the individual or person acting at the direction of the individual; or

(3) By a verbal expression of the intent to revoke the advance health-care directive, in the presence of a witness eighteen (18) years of age or older who signs and dates a writing confirming that such expression of intent was made. Any verbal revocation shall become effective upon receipt by the supervising health-care provider of the above-mentioned writing. The supervising health-care provider shall record in the person's medical record the time, date and place when he or she received notice of the revocation.

(e b) A health-care provider, agent, guardian, or surrogate who is informed of a revocation shall promptly communicate the fact of the revocation to the supervising health-care provider and to any health-care institution at which the patient person is receiving care.

(d c) A decree of annulment, divorce, dissolution of marriage, or legal separation revokes a previous designation of a spouse as agent unless otherwise specified in the decree or in a power of attorney for health care. The designation of an agent shall be revoked effective upon the filing of an order of protection by the principal against the agent. The agent shall be reinstated upon the termination of the order of protection.

(e d) An advance health-care directive that conflicts with an earlier advance health-care directive revokes the earlier directive to the extent of the conflict.

Kansas Comment

The Committee agreed that greater formality should be required in the revocation of an advance health-care directive than was provided under the Uniform Act. New subsection (a) is patterned after K.S.A. 65-28,104 of the Natural Death Act.

The Committee also added a provision stating that the designation of an agent is revoked upon the filing of an order of protection by the principal against the agent. The agent is reinstated upon the termination of the order of protection.

UHCDA Comment

Subsection (b) provides that an individual may revoke any portion of an advance health-care directive at any time and in any manner that communicates an intent to revoke. However, a more restrictive standard applies to the revocation of the portion of a power of attorney for health care relating to the designation of an agent. Subsection (a) provides that an individual may revoke the designation of an agent only by a signed writing or by personally informing the supervising healthcare provider. This higher standard is justified by the risk of a false revocation of an agent's designation or of a misinterpretation or miscommunication of a principal's statement communicated through a third party. For example, without this higher standard, an individual motivated by a desire to gain control over a patient might be able to assume authority to act as agent by falsely informing a health-care provider that the principal no longer wishes the previously designated agent to act but instead wishes to appoint the individual.

Subsection (c) requires any health-care provider, agent, guardian or surrogate who is informed of a revocation to promptly communicate that fact to the supervising health-care provider and to any health-care institution at which the patient is receiving care. The communication triggers the Section 7(b) obligation of the supervising health-care provider to record the revocation in the patient's healthcare record and reduces the risk that a health-care provider or agent, guardian or surrogate will rely on a health-care directive that is no longer valid.

Subsection (e) establishes a rule of construction permitting multiple advance health-care directives to be construed together in order to determine the individual's intent, with the later advance health-care directive superseding the former to the extent of any inconsistency.

The section does not specifically address amendment of an advance healthcare directive because such reference is not necessary. Subsection (b) specifically authorizes partial revocation, and subsection (e) recognizes that an advance healthcare directive may be modified by a later directive.

SECTION 4. OPTIONAL FORM. The following form may, but need not, be used to create an advance health care directive. The other sections of this [Act] govern the effect of this or any other writing used to create an advance health care directive. An individual may complete or modify all or any part of the following form:

ADVANCE HEALTH CARE DIRECTIVE

Explanation

You have the right to give instructions about your own health care. You also have the right to name someone else to make health care decisions for you. This form lets you do either or both of these things. It also lets you express your wishes regarding donation of organs and the designation of your primary physician. If you use this form, you may complete or modify all or any part of it. You are free to use a different form.

Part 1 of this form is a power of attorney for health care. Part 1 lets you name another individual as agent to make health care decisions for you if you become incapable of making your own decisions or if you want someone else to make those decisions for you now even though you are still capable. You may also name an alternate agent to act for you if your first choice is not willing, able, or reasonably available to make decisions for you. Unless related to you, your agent may not be an owner, operator, or employee of [a residential long-term health care institution] at which you are receiving care.

Unless the form you sign limits the authority of your agent, your agent may make all health care decisions for you. This form has a place for you to limit the authority of your agent. You need not limit the authority of your agent if you wish to rely on your agent for all health care decisions that may have to be made. If you choose not to limit the authority of your agent, your agent will have the right to:

(a) consent or refuse consent to any care, treatment, service, or procedure to maintain, diagnose, or otherwise affect a physical or mental condition;

(b) select or discharge health care providers and institutions;

(c) approve or disapprove diagnostic tests, surgical procedures, programs of medication, and orders not to resuscitate; and

(d) direct the provision, withholding, or withdrawal of artificial nutrition and hydration and all other forms of health care.

Part 2 of this form lets you give specific instructions about any aspect of your health care. Choices are provided for you to express your wishes regarding the provision, withholding, or withdrawal of treatment to keep you alive, including the provision of artificial nutrition and hydration, as well as the provision of pain relief. Space is also provided for you to add to the choices you have made or for you to write out any additional wishes.

Part 3 of this form lets you express an intention to donate your bodily organs and tissues following your death.

Part 4 of this form lets you designate a physician to have primary responsibility for your health care.

After completing this form, sign and date the form at the end. It is recommended but not required that you request two other individuals to sign as witnesses. Give a copy of the signed and completed form to your physician, to any other health care providers you may have, to any health care institution at which you are receiving care, and to any health care agents you have named. You should talk to the person you have named as agent to make sure that he or she understands your wishes and is willing to take the responsibility.

You have the right to revoke this advance health care directive or replace this form at any time.

PART 1

POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR HEALTH CARE

(1) DESIGNATION OF AGENT: I designate the following individual as my agent to make health care decisions for me:

(name of individual you choose as agent)

(address) (city) (state) (zip code)

(home phone) (work phone)

OPTIONAL: If I revoke my agent's authority or if my agent is not willing, able, or reasonably available to make a health-care decision for me, I designate as my first alternate agent:

(name of individual you choose as first alternate agent)

(address) (city) (state) (zip code)

(home phone) (work phone)

OPTIONAL: If I revoke the authority of my agent and first alternate agent or if neither is willing, able, or reasonably available to make a health-care decision for me, I designate as my second alternate agent:

(name of individual you choose as second alternate agent)

(address) (city) (state) (zip code)

(home phone) (work phone)

(2) AGENT'S AUTHORITY: My agent is authorized to make all healthcare decisions for me, including decisions to provide, withhold, or withdraw artificial nutrition and hydration and all other forms of health care to keep me alive, except as I state here:

(Add additional sheets if needed.)

(3) WHEN AGENT'S AUTHORITY BECOMES EFFECTIVE: My agent's authority becomes effective when my primary physician determines that I am unable to make my own health-care decisions unless I mark the following box. If I mark this box [], my agent's authority to make health-care decisions for me takes effect immediately.

(4) AGENT'S OBLIGATION: My agent shall make health-care decisions for me in accordance with this power of attorney for health care, any instructions I

give in Part 2 of this form, and my other wishes to the extent known to my agent. To the extent my wishes are unknown, my agent shall make health care decisions for me in accordance with what my agent determines to be in my best interest. In determining my best interest, my agent shall consider my personal values to the extent known to my agent.

(5) **NOMINATION OF GUARDIAN:** If a guardian of my person needs to be appointed for me by a court, I nominate the agent designated in this form. If that agent is not willing, able, or reasonably available to act as guardian, I nominate the alternate agents whom I have named, in the order designated.

PART 2

INSTRUCTIONS FOR HEALTH CARE

If you are satisfied to allow your agent to determine what is best for you in making end-of-life decisions, you need not fill out this part of the form. If you do fill out this part of the form, you may strike any wording you do not want.

(6) **END-OF-LIFE DECISIONS:** I direct that my health care providers and others involved in my care provide, withhold, or withdraw treatment in accordance with the choice I have marked below:

(a) **Choice Not To Prolong Life**

I do not want my life to be prolonged if (i) I have an incurable and irreversible condition that will result in my death within a relatively short time, (ii) I become unconscious and, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, I will not regain consciousness, or (iii) the likely risks and burdens of treatment would outweigh the expected benefits, OR

(b) **Choice To Prolong Life**

I want my life to be prolonged as long as possible within the limits of generally accepted health care standards.

(7) **ARTIFICIAL NUTRITION AND HYDRATION:** Artificial nutrition and hydration must be provided, withheld, or withdrawn in accordance with the choice I have made in paragraph (6) unless I mark the following box. If I mark this box , artificial nutrition and hydration must be provided regardless of my condition and regardless of the choice I have made in paragraph (6).

(8) RELIEF FROM PAIN: Except as I state in the following space, I direct that treatment for alleviation of pain or discomfort be provided at all times, even if it hastens my death:

(9) OTHER WISHES: (If you do not agree with any of the optional choices above and wish to write your own, or if you wish to add to the instructions you have given above, you may do so here.) I direct that:

(Add additional sheets if needed.)

PART 3

DONATION OF ORGANS AT DEATH (OPTIONAL)

(10) Upon my death (mark applicable box)

(a) I give any needed organs, tissues, or parts, OR

(b) I give the following organs, tissues, or parts only

(c) My gift is for the following purposes (strike any of the following you do not want)

(i) Transplant

(ii) Therapy

(iii) Research

(iv) Education

PART 4

PRIMARY PHYSICIAN (OPTIONAL)

(11) I designate the following physician as my primary physician:

(name of physician)

(address) (city) (state) (zip code)

(phone)

OPTIONAL: If the physician I have designated above is not willing, able,
or reasonably available to act as my primary physician, I designate the following
physician as my primary physician:

(name of physician)

(address) (city) (state) (zip code)

(phone)

(12) EFFECT OF COPY: A copy of this form has the same effect as the
original.

(13) SIGNATURES: Sign and date the form here:

(date) (sign your name)

(address) (print your name)

(city) (state)

(Optional) SIGNATURES OF WITNESSES:

First witness _____ Second witness _____

(print name) _____ (print name) _____

(address) _____ (address) _____

(city) (state) _____ (city) (state) _____

(signature of witness) _____ (signature of witness) _____

(date) _____ (date) _____

An advance health-care directive shall be deemed sufficient if in substantial compliance with the form set forth by the judicial council.

Kansas Comment

The form drafted by the Committee reflects the substantive changes that the Committee made to the Uniform Act, specifically, the requirement of a statement in the signer’s own words or a separately signed or marked section dealing with a person’s decision about the withholding or withdrawal of nutrition and hydration provided through medical intervention, and the requirement that the advance health-care directive be signed by two witnesses or notarized.

Comment

The optional form set forth in this section incorporates the Section 2 requirements applicable to advance health-care directives. There are four parts to the form. An individual may complete all or any parts of the form. Any part of the form left blank is not to be given effect. For example, an individual may complete the instructions for health care part of the form alone. Or an individual may

complete the power of attorney for health care part of the form alone. Or an individual may complete both the instructions and power of attorney for health care parts of the form. An individual may also, but need not, complete the parts of the form pertaining to donation of bodily organs and tissue and the designation of a primary physician.

Part 1, the power of attorney for health care, appears first on the form in order to ensure to the extent possible that it will come to the attention of a casual reader. This reflects the reality that the appointment of an agent is a more comprehensive approach to the making of health-care decisions than is the giving of an individual instruction, which cannot possibly anticipate all future circumstances which might arise.

Part 1 (1) of the power of attorney for health care form requires only the designation of a single agent, but with opportunity given to designate a single first alternate and a single second alternate, if the individual chooses. No provision is made in the form for the designation of co-agents in order not to encourage the practice. Designation of co-agents is discouraged because of the difficulties likely to be encountered if the co-agents are not all readily available or do not agree. If co-agents are appointed, the instrument should specify that either is authorized to act if the other is not reasonably available. It should also specify a method for resolving disagreements.

Part 1 (2) of the power of attorney for health care form grants the agent authority to make all health-care decisions for the individual subject to any limitations which the individual may state in the form. Reference is made to artificial nutrition and hydration and other forms of treatment to keep an individual alive in order to ensure that the individual is aware that those are forms of health care that the agent would have the authority to withdraw or withhold absent specific limitation.

Part 1 (3) of the power of attorney for health care form provides that the agent's authority becomes effective upon a determination that the individual lacks capacity, but as authorized by Section 2(c) a box is provided for the individual to indicate that the authority of the agent takes effect immediately.

Part 1 (4) of the power of attorney for health care form directs the agent to make health-care decisions in accordance with the power of attorney, any instructions given by the individual in Part 2 of the form, and the individual's other wishes to the extent known to the agent. To the extent the individual's wishes in the matter are not known, the agent is to make health-care decisions based on what the agent determines to be in the individual's best interest. In determining the individual's best interest, the agent is to consider the individual's personal values to

the extent known to the agent. Section 2(e) imposes this standard, whether or not it is included in the form, but its inclusion in the form will bring it to the attention of the individual granting the power, to the agent, to any guardian or surrogate, and to the individual's health-care providers.

Part 1 (5) of the power of attorney for health care form nominates the agent, if available, able, and willing to act, otherwise the alternate agents in order of priority stated, as guardians of the person for the individual. This provision is included in the form for two reasons. First, if an appointment of a guardian becomes necessary the agent is the one whom the individual would most likely want to serve in that role. Second, the nomination of the agent as guardian will reduce the possibility that someone other than the agent will be appointed as guardian who could use the position to thwart the agent's authority.

Because the variety of treatment decisions to which health-care instructions may relate is virtually unlimited, Part 2 of the form does not attempt to be comprehensive, but is directed at the types of treatment for which an individual is most likely to have special wishes. Part 2(6) of the form, entitled "End-of-Life Decisions", provides two alternative choices for the expression of wishes concerning the provision, withholding, or withdrawal of treatment. Under the first choice, the individual's life is not to be prolonged if the individual has an incurable and irreversible condition that will result in death within a relatively short time, if the individual becomes unconscious and, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty, will not regain consciousness, or if the likely risks and burdens of treatment would outweigh the expected benefits. Under the second choice, the individual's life is to be prolonged within the limits of generally accepted healthcare standards. Part 2(7) of the form provides a box for an individual to mark if the individual wishes to receive artificial nutrition and hydration in all circumstances. Part 2(8) of the form provides space for an individual to specify any circumstance when the individual would prefer not to receive pain relief. Because the choices provided in Parts 2(6) to 2(8) do not cover all possible situations, Part 2(9) of the form provides space for the individual to write out his or her own instructions or to supplement the instructions given in the previous subparts of the form. Should the space be insufficient, the individual is free to add additional pages.

The health-care instructions given in Part 2 of the form are binding on the agent, any guardian, any surrogate, and, subject to exceptions specified in Section 7(e)-(f), on the individual's health-care providers. Pursuant to Section 7(d), a health-care provider must also comply with a reasonable interpretation of those instructions made by an authorized agent, guardian, or surrogate.

Part 3 of the form provides the individual an opportunity to express an intention to donate bodily organs and tissues at death. The options provided are

derived from a suggested form in the Comment to Section 2 of the Uniform Anatomical Gift Act (1987).

Part 4 of the form provides space for the individual to designate a primary physician should the individual choose to do so. Space is also provided for the designation of an alternate primary physician should the first designated physician not be available, able, or willing to act.

Paragraph (12) of the form conforms with the provisions of Section 12 by providing that a copy of the form has the same effect as the original.

The Act does not require witnessing, but to encourage the practice the form provides space for the signatures of two witnesses.

The form does not require formal acceptance by an agent. Formal acceptance by an agent has been omitted not because it is an undesirable practice but because it would add another stage to executing an advance health-care directive, thereby further reducing the number of individuals who will follow through and create directives. However, practitioners who wish to adapt this form for use by their clients are strongly encouraged to add a formal acceptance. Designated agents have no duty to act until they accept the office either expressly or through their conduct. Consequently, requiring formal acceptance reduces the risk that a designated agent will decline to act when the need arises. Formal acceptance also makes it more likely that the agent will become familiar with the principal's personal values and views on health care. While the form does not require formal acceptance, the explanation to the form does encourage principals to talk to the person they have named as agent to make certain that the designated agent understands their wishes and is willing to take the responsibility.

SECTION 5. DECISIONS BY SURROGATE.

(a) A surrogate may make a health-care decision for a patient person who is an adult or emancipated minor if the patient person has been determined by the primary physician to lack capacity and no agent or guardian has been appointed or the agent or guardian is not reasonably available.

(b) An adult or emancipated minor may designate any individual to act as surrogate by personally informing the supervising health-care provider. In the absence of a designation, or if the designee is not reasonably available, any member of the following classes of the patient's person's family who is reasonably available, in descending order of priority, may act as surrogate:

(1) the spouse, unless legally separated;

(2) an adult child;

(3) a parent; or

(4) an adult brother or sister.

(c) If none of the individuals eligible to act as surrogate under subsection (b) is reasonably available, an adult who has exhibited special care and concern for the patient person, who is familiar with the patient's person's personal values, and who is reasonably available may act as surrogate.

(d) A person shall be disqualified from acting as surrogate if the patient has filed an order of protection against that person and the order is still in effect.

~~(d)~~ (e) A surrogate shall communicate his or her assumption of authority as promptly as practicable to the members of the patient's person's family specified in subsection (b) who can be readily contacted.

~~(e)~~ (f) If more than one member of a class assumes authority to act as surrogate, and they do not agree on a health-care decision and the supervising health-care provider is so informed, the supervising health-care provider shall comply with the decision of a majority of the members of that class who have communicated their views to the provider. If the class is evenly divided concerning the health-care decision and the supervising health-care provider is so informed, that class and all individuals having lower priority are disqualified from making the decision.

~~(f)~~ (g) A surrogate shall make a health-care decision in accordance with the

patient's person's individual instructions, if any, and other wishes to the extent known to the surrogate. Otherwise, the surrogate shall make the decision in accordance with the surrogate's determination of the patient's person's best interest. In determining the patient's person's best interest, the surrogate shall consider the patient's person's personal values to the extent known to the surrogate.

(g h) A health-care decision made by a surrogate for a patient person is effective without judicial approval.

(h i) An individual at any time may disqualify another, including a member of the individual's family, from acting as the individual's surrogate by a signed writing or by personally informing the supervising health-care provider of the disqualification.

(i j) Unless related to the patient person by blood, marriage, or adoption, a surrogate may not be an owner, operator, or employee of [a residential long-term health-care institution] at which the patient person is receiving care.

(j k) A supervising health-care provider may require an individual claiming the right to act as surrogate for a patient person to provide a written declaration under penalty of perjury stating facts and circumstances reasonably sufficient to establish the claimed authority.

Kansas Comment

While recognizing that surrogate decision-making is a controversial topic, after a great deal of study and discussion, the Committee concluded that a surrogacy provision is necessary to protect the large number of people who do not have any kind of advance directive. The Committee found that surrogate decision-making occurs in all states whether by statute, by case law, or by practice. In Kansas, surrogates make health-care decisions for incapacitated persons in practice, but the practice has never been codified by statute or recognized by case law. The Committee believes that having a statutory provision in place will help guide the already existing practice of surrogate decision-making.

The only substantive change to this section was the addition of new subsection (d) which provides that a person cannot act as surrogate if the patient has filed an order of protection against that person and the order remains in effect.

UHCDA Comment

Subsection (a) authorizes a surrogate to make a health-care decision for a patient who is an adult or emancipated minor if the patient lacks capacity to make health-care decisions and if no agent or guardian has been appointed or the agent or

guardian is not reasonably available. Health-care decision making for unemancipated minors is not covered by this section. The subject of consent for treatment of minors is a complex one which in many states is covered by a variety of statutes and is therefore left to other state law.

While a designation of an agent in a written power of attorney for health care is preferred, situations may arise where an individual will not be in a position to execute a power of attorney for health care. In that event, subsection (b) affirms the principle of patient autonomy by allowing an individual to designate a surrogate by personally informing the supervising health-care provider. The supervising health-care provider would then, in accordance with Section 7(b), be obligated to promptly record the designation in the individual's health-care record. An oral designation of a surrogate made by a patient directly to the supervising health-care provider revokes a previous designation of an agent. See Section 3(a).

If an individual does not designate a surrogate or if the designee is not reasonably available, subsection (b) applies a default rule for selecting a family member to act as surrogate. Like all default rules, it is not tailored to every situation, but incorporates the presumed desires of a majority of those who find themselves so situated. The relationships specified in subsection (b) include those of the half-blood and by adoption, in addition to those of the whole blood.

Subsection (c) permits a health-care decision to be made by a more distant relative or unrelated adult with whom the individual enjoys a close relationship but only if all family members specified in subsection (b) decline to act or are otherwise not reasonably available. Consequently, those in non-traditional relationships who want to make certain that health-care decisions are made by their companions should execute powers of attorney for health care designating them as agents or, if that has not been done, should designate them as surrogates.

Subsections (b) and (c) permit any member of a class authorized to serve as surrogate to assume authority to act even though there are other members in the class.

Subsection (d) requires a surrogate who assumes authority to act to immediately so notify the members of the patient's family who in given circumstances would be eligible to act as surrogate. Notice to the specified family members will enable them to follow health-care developments with respect to their now incapacitated relative. It will also alert them to take appropriate action, including the appointment of a guardian or the commencement of judicial proceedings under Section 14, should the need arise.

Subsection (e) addresses the situation where more than one member of the

same class has assumed authority to act as surrogate and a disagreement over a health-care decision arises of which the supervising health-care provider is informed. Should that occur, the supervising health-care provider must comply with the decision of a majority of the members of that class who have communicated their views to the provider. If the members of the class who have communicated their views to the provider are evenly divided concerning the healthcare decision, however, then the entire class is disqualified from making the decision and no individual having lower priority may act as surrogate. When such a deadlock arises, it may be necessary to seek court determination of the issue as authorized by Section 14.

Subsection (f) imposes on surrogates the same standard for health-care decision making as is prescribed for agents in Section 2(e). The surrogate must follow the patient's individual instructions and other expressed wishes to the extent known to the surrogate. To the extent such instructions or other wishes are unknown, the surrogate must act in the patient's best interest. In determining the patient's best interest, the surrogate is to consider the patient's personal values to the extent known to the surrogate.

Subsection (g) provides that a health-care decision made by a surrogate is effective without judicial approval. A similar provision applies to health-care decisions made by agents (Section 2(f)) or guardians (Section 6(c)).

Subsection (h) permits an individual to disqualify any family member or other individual from acting as the individual's surrogate, including disqualification of a surrogate who was orally designated.

Subsection (i) disqualifies an owner, operator, or employee of a residential long-term health-care institution at which a patient is receiving care from acting as the patient's surrogate unless related to the patient by blood, marriage, or adoption. This disqualification is similar to that for appointed agents. See Section 2(b) and Comment.

Subsection (j) permits a supervising health-care provider to require an individual claiming the right to act as surrogate to provide a written declaration under penalty of perjury stating facts and circumstances reasonably sufficient to establish the claimed relationship. The authority to request a declaration is included to permit the provider to obtain evidence of claimed authority. A supervising health-care provider, however, does not have a duty to investigate the qualifications of an individual claiming authority to act as surrogate, and Section 9(a) protects a health-care provider or institution from liability for complying with the decision of such an individual, absent knowledge that the individual does not in fact have such authority.

SECTION 6. DECISIONS BY GUARDIAN.

(a) ~~A guardian shall comply with the ward's individual instructions and may not revoke the ward's advance health care directive unless the appointing court expressly so authorizes. If, following execution of a power of attorney for health care, a court of the principal's domicile appoints a guardian charged with the responsibility for the principal's person, the guardian has the same power to revoke or amend the power of attorney for health care that the principal would have had if the principal were not impaired.~~

(b) ~~Absent a court order to the contrary, a health care decision of an agent takes precedence over that of a guardian. In exercising the authority provided for in subsection (a), a guardian remains subject to the provisions of K.S.A. 59-3075 and amendments thereto.~~

(c) A health-care decision made by a guardian for the ward is effective without judicial approval.

Kansas Comment

Existing subsections (a) and (b), which prioritized the decisions of an appointed agent over the decisions of a guardian contrary to current Kansas law, were deleted. The amended language of subsection (a) was patterned on current K.S.A. 58-627(a) and gives the guardian the power to revoke or amend a previously executed power of attorney for health care. The amended language of subsection (b) clarifies that a guardian's power to revoke or amend a previously executed power of attorney for health care is subject to any limitations contained in K.S.A. 59-3075 of the guardianship and conservatorship act.

UHCDA Comment

The Act affirms that health-care decisions should whenever possible be made by a person whom the individual selects to do so. For this reason, subsection (b) provides that a health-care decision of an agent takes precedence over that of a guardian absent a court order to the contrary, and subsection (a) provides that a guardian may not revoke the ward's power of attorney for health care unless the appointing court expressly so authorizes. Without these subsections, a guardian would in many states have authority to revoke the ward's power of attorney for health care even though the court appointing the guardian might not be aware that the principal had made such alternate arrangement.

The Act expresses a strong preference for honoring an individual instruction. Under the Act, an individual instruction must be honored by an agent, by a surrogate, and, subject to exceptions specified in Section 7(e)-(f), by an individual's health-care providers. Subsection (a) extends this principle to

guardians by requiring that a guardian effectuate the ward's individual instructions. A guardian may revoke the ward's individual instructions only if the appointing court expressly so authorizes.

Courts have no particular expertise with respect to health-care decision making. Moreover, the delay attendant upon seeking court approval may undermine the effectiveness of the decision ultimately made, particularly but not only when the patient's condition is life-threatening and immediate decisions concerning treatment need to be made. Decisions should whenever possible be made by a patient, or the patient's guardian, agent, or surrogate in consultation with the patient's health-care providers without outside interference. For this reason, subsection (c) provides that a health-care decision made by a guardian for the ward is effective without judicial approval, and the Act includes similar provisions for health-care decisions made by agents (Section 2(f)) or surrogates (Section 5(g)).

SECTION 7. OBLIGATIONS OF HEALTH-CARE PROVIDER.

(a) Before implementing a health-care decision made for a patient, a supervising health-care provider, if possible, shall promptly communicate to the patient the decision made and the identity of the person making the decision.

(b) A supervising health-care provider who knows of the existence of an advance health-care directive, a revocation of an advance health-care directive, or a designation or disqualification of a surrogate, shall promptly record its existence in the patient's health-care record and, if it is in writing, shall request a copy and if one is furnished shall arrange for its maintenance in the health-care record.

(c) A primary physician who makes or is informed of a determination that a patient lacks or has recovered capacity, or that another condition exists which affects an individual instruction or the authority of an agent, guardian, or surrogate, shall promptly record the determination in the patient's health-care record and communicate the determination to the patient, if possible, and to any person then authorized to make health-care decisions for the patient.

(d) Except as provided in subsections (e) and (f), a health-care provider or institution providing care to a patient shall:

(1) comply with an individual instruction of the patient and with a reasonable interpretation of that instruction made by a person then authorized to make health-care decisions for the patient; and

(2) comply with a health-care decision for the patient made by a person then authorized to make health-care decisions for the patient to the same extent as if the decision had been made by the patient while having capacity.

(e) A health-care provider may decline to comply with an individual instruction or health-care decision for reasons of conscience. A health-care institution may decline to comply with an individual instruction or health-care decision if the instruction or decision is contrary to a policy of the institution which is expressly based on reasons of conscience and if the policy was timely communicated to the patient or to a person then authorized to make health-care decisions for the patient.

(f) A health-care provider or institution may decline to comply with an individual instruction or health-care decision that requires medically ineffective health care or health care contrary to generally accepted health-care standards applicable to the health-care provider or institution.

(g) A health-care provider or institution that declines to comply with an

individual instruction or health-care decision shall:

(1) promptly so inform the patient, if possible, and any person then authorized to make health-care decisions for the patient;

(2) provide continuing care to the patient until a transfer can be effected; and

(3) unless the patient or person then authorized to make health-care decisions for the patient refuses assistance, immediately make all reasonable efforts to assist in the transfer of the patient to another health-care provider or institution that is willing to comply with the instruction or decision.

(h) A health-care provider or institution may not require or prohibit the execution or revocation of an advance health-care directive as a condition for providing health care.

Kansas Comment

The Committee made no change to this section.

UHCDA Comment

Subsection (a) further reinforces the Act's respect for patient autonomy by requiring a supervising health-care provider, if possible, to promptly communicate to a patient, prior to implementation, a health-care decision made for the patient and the identity of the person making the decision.

The recording requirement in subsection (b) reduces the risk that a healthcare provider or institution, or agent, guardian or surrogate, will rely on an outdated individual instruction or the decision of an individual whose authority has been revoked.

Subsection (c) imposes recording and communication requirements relating to determinations that may trigger the authority of an agent, guardian or surrogate to make health-care decisions on an individual's behalf. The determinations covered by these requirements are those specified in Sections 2(c)-(d) and 5(a).

Subsection (d) requires health-care providers and institutions to comply with a patient's individual instruction and with a reasonable interpretation of that instruction made by a person then authorized to make health-care decisions for the patient. A health-care provider or institution must also comply with a health-care decision made by a person then authorized to make health-care decisions for the

patient to the same extent as if the decision had been made by the patient while having capacity. These requirements help to protect the patient's rights to autonomy and self-determination and validate and seek to effectuate the substitute decision making authorized by the Act.

Not all instructions or decisions must be honored, however. Subsection (e) authorizes a health-care provider to decline to comply with an individual instruction or health-care decision for reasons of conscience. Subsection (e) also allows a health-care institution to decline to comply with a health-care instruction or decision if the instruction or decision is contrary to a policy of the institution which is expressly based on reasons of conscience and if the policy was timely communicated to the patient or to an individual then authorized to make health-care decisions for the patient.

Subsection (f) further authorizes a health-care provider or institution to decline to comply with an instruction or decision that requires the provision of care which would be medically ineffective or contrary to generally accepted health-care standards applicable to the provider or institution. "Medically ineffective health care", as used in this section, means treatment which would not offer the patient any significant benefit.

Subsection (g) requires a health-care provider or institution that declines to comply with an individual instruction or health-care decision to promptly communicate the refusal to the patient, if possible, and to any person then authorized to make health-care decisions for the patient. The provider or institution also must provide continuing care to the patient until a transfer can be effected. In addition, unless the patient or person then authorized to make health-care decisions for the patient refuses assistance, the health-care provider or institution must immediately make all reasonable efforts to assist in the transfer of the patient to another health-care provider or institution that is willing to comply with the instruction or decision.

Subsection (h), forbidding a health-care provider or institution to condition provision of health care on execution, non-execution, or revocation of an advance health-care directive, tracks the provisions of the federal Patient Self-Determination Act (42 U.S.C. 1395cc(f)(1)(C) (Medicare); 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(w)(1)(C) (Medicaid)).

SECTION 8. HEALTH-CARE INFORMATION. Unless otherwise specified in an advance health-care directive, a person then authorized to make health-care decisions for a patient has the same rights as the patient to request, receive, examine, copy, and consent to the disclosure of medical or any other health-care information.

Kansas Comment

The Committee made no change to this section.

UHCDA Comment

An agent, guardian, or surrogate stands in the shoes of the patient when making health-care decisions. To assure fully informed decision making, this section provides that a person who is then authorized to make health-care decisions for a patient has the same right of access to health-care information as does the patient unless otherwise specified in the patient's advance health-care directive.

SECTION 9. IMMUNITIES.

(a) A health-care provider or institution acting in good faith and in accordance with generally accepted health-care standards applicable to the healthcare provider or institution is not subject to civil or criminal liability or to discipline for unprofessional conduct for:

(1) complying with a health-care decision of a person apparently having authority to make a health-care decision for a patient, including a decision to withhold or withdraw health care;

(2) declining to comply with a health-care decision of a person based on a belief that the person then lacked authority; or

(3) complying with an advance health-care directive and assuming that the directive was valid when made and has not been revoked or terminated.

(b) An individual acting as agent or surrogate under this [Act] is not subject to civil or criminal liability or to discipline for unprofessional conduct for healthcare decisions made in good faith.

Kansas Comment

The Committee made no change to this section.

UHCDA Comment

The section grants broad protection from liability for actions taken in good faith. Subsection (a) permits a health-care provider or institution to comply with a health-care decision made by a person appearing to have authority to make healthcare decisions for a patient; to decline to comply with a health-care decision made by a person believed to be without authority; and to assume the validity of and to comply with an advance health-care directive. Absent bad faith or actions taken that are not in accord with generally accepted health-care standards, a health-care provider or institution has no duty to investigate a claim of authority or the validity of an advance health-care directive.

Subsection (b) protects agents and surrogates acting in good faith from liability for making a health-care decision for a patient. Also protected from liability are individuals who mistakenly but in good faith believe they have the authority to make a health-care decision for a patient. For example, an individual who has been designated as agent in a power of attorney for health care might assume authority unaware that the power has been revoked. Or a family member

might assume authority to act as surrogate unaware that a family member having a higher priority was reasonably available and authorized to act.

SECTION 10. STATUTORY DAMAGES.

(a) A health-care provider or institution that intentionally violates this ~~Act~~ is subject to liability to the aggrieved individual for damages of ~~\$500~~ or actual damages resulting from the violation, whichever is greater, plus reasonable attorney's fees.

(b) A person who intentionally falsifies, forges, conceals, defaces, or obliterates an individual's advance health-care directive or a revocation of an advance health-care directive without the individual's consent, or who coerces or fraudulently induces an individual to give, revoke, or not to give an advance healthcare directive, is subject to liability to that individual for damages of ~~\$2,500~~ or actual damages resulting from the action, whichever is greater, plus reasonable attorney's fees.

Kansas Comment

The Committee agreed to adopt the damage amounts of \$500 and \$2500 suggested by the Uniform Law Commissioners.

UHCDA Comment

Conduct which intentionally violates the Act and which interferes with an individual's autonomy to make health-care decisions, either personally or through others as provided under the Act, is subject to civil damages rather than criminal penalties out of a recognition that prosecutions are unlikely to occur. The legislature of an enacting state will have to determine the amount of damages which needs to be authorized in order to encourage the level of potential private enforcement actions necessary to effect compliance with the obligations and responsibilities imposed by the Act. The damages provided by this section do not supersede but are in addition to remedies available under other law.

SECTION 11. CAPACITY.

(a) This ~~Act~~ does not affect the right of an individual to make health-care decisions while having capacity to do so.

(b) An individual is presumed to have capacity to make a health-care decision, to give or revoke an advance health-care directive, and to designate or disqualify a surrogate.

Kansas Comment

The Committee made no changes to this section.

UHCDA Comment

This section reinforces the principle of patient autonomy by providing a rebuttable presumption that an individual has capacity for all decisions relating to health care referred to in the Act.

SECTION 12. EFFECT OF COPY. A copy of a written advance health-care directive, revocation of an advance health-care directive, or designation or disqualification of a surrogate has the same effect as the original.

Kansas Comment

The Committee made no changes to this section.

UHCDA Comment

The need to rely on an advance health-care directive may arise at times when the original is inaccessible. For example, an individual may be receiving care from several health-care providers or may be receiving care at a location distant from that where the original is kept. To facilitate prompt and informed decision making, this section provides that a copy of a valid written advance health-care directive, revocation of an advance health-care directive, or designation or disqualification of a surrogate has the same effect as the original.

SECTION 13. EFFECT OF [ACT].

(a) This [Act] does not create a presumption concerning the intention of an individual who has not made or who has revoked an advance health-care directive.

(b) Death resulting from the withholding or withdrawal of health care in accordance with this [Act] does not for any purpose constitute a suicide or homicide or legally impair or invalidate a policy of insurance or an annuity providing a death benefit, notwithstanding any term of the policy or annuity to the contrary.

(c) This [Act] does not authorize mercy killing, assisted suicide, euthanasia, or the provision, withholding, or withdrawal of health care, to the extent prohibited by other statutes of this State.

(d) This [Act] does not authorize or require a health-care provider or institution to provide health care contrary to generally accepted health-care standards applicable to the health-care provider or institution except as provided by Section 7.

~~[(e) This [Act] does not authorize an agent or surrogate to consent to the admission of an individual to a mental health-care institution unless the individual's written advance health-care directive expressly so provides.]~~

~~[(f) This [Act] does not affect other statutes of this State governing treatment for mental illness of an individual involuntarily committed to a [mental health care institution under appropriate statute] pursuant to the care and treatment act for mentally ill persons, K.S.A. 59-2945 et seq.]~~

Kansas Comment

Subsection (d) was amended by cross-referencing Section 7, Obligations of Health-Care Provider. Subsection (f) was amended by cross-referencing the care and treatment act for mentally ill persons, K.S.A. 59-2945 et seq.

UHCDA Comment

Subsection (e) is included to accommodate the legislature of an enacting jurisdiction that wishes to address in this Act rather than by separate statute the authority of an agent or surrogate to consent to the admission of an individual to a mental health-care institution. In recognition of the principle of patient autonomy, however, an individual may authorize an agent or surrogate to consent to an admission to a mental health-care institution but may do so only by express

provision in an advance health-care directive. Subsection (e) does not address the authority of a guardian to consent to an admission, leaving that matter to be decided under state guardianship law.

All states surround the involuntary commitment process with procedural safeguards. Moreover, state mental health codes contain detailed provisions relating to the treatment of individuals subject to commitment. Subsection (f) is included in the event that the legislature of an enacting jurisdiction wishes to clarify that a general health-care statute such as this Act is intended to supplement and not supersede these more detailed provisions.

SECTION 14. JUDICIAL RELIEF. On petition of a patient person, the patient's person's agent, guardian, or surrogate, a health-care provider or institution involved with the patient's person's care, or an individual described in Section 5(b) or (c), the [appropriate] court may enjoin or direct a health-care decision or order other equitable relief. A proceeding under this section is governed by [here insert appropriate reference to the rules of procedure or statutory provisions governing expedited proceedings and proceedings affecting incapacitated persons] K.S.A. 60-901 et seq.

Kansas Comment

This section was amended to cross-reference current Kansas statutes governing injunctive relief, K.S.A. 60-901 *et seq.*

UHCDA Comment

While the provisions of the Act are in general to be effectuated without litigation, situations will arise where judicial proceedings may be appropriate. For example, the members of a class of surrogates authorized to act under Section 5 may be evenly divided with respect to the advisability of a particular health-care decision. In that circumstance, authorization to proceed may have to be obtained from a court. Examples of other legitimate issues that may from time to time arise include whether an agent or surrogate has authority to act and whether an agent or surrogate has complied with the standard of care imposed by Sections 2(e) and 5(f).

This section has a limited scope. The court under this section may grant only equitable relief. Other adequate avenues exist for those who wish to pursue money damages. The class of potential petitioners is also limited to those with a direct interest in a patient's health care.

The final portion of this section has been placed in brackets in recognition of the fact that states vary widely in the extent to which they codify procedural matters in a substantive act. The legislature of an enacting jurisdiction is encouraged, however, to cross-reference to its rules on expedited proceedings or rules on proceedings affecting incapacitated persons. The legislature of an enacting jurisdiction which wishes to include a detailed procedural provision in its adoption of the Act may want to consult Guidelines for State Court Decision Making in Life-Sustaining Medical Treatment Cases (2d ed. 1992), published by the National Center for State Courts.

SECTION 15. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. This [Act] shall be applied and construed to effectuate its general purpose to make uniform the law with respect to the subject matter of this [Act] among States enacting it.

Kansas Comment

This section was deleted because the Committee has made substantial changes to the Uniform Act.

SECTION 16. SHORT TITLE. This ~~Act~~ may be cited as the Kansas Uniform Health-Care Decisions Act.

SECTION 17. SEVERABILITY CLAUSE. If any provision of this [Act] or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of this [Act] which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this [Act] are severable.

SECTION 18. EFFECTIVE DATE. This ~~Act~~ takes effect on July 1, 2009.

SECTION 19. REPEAL. The following acts and parts of acts are repealed:

- (1) K.S.A. 58-625 through 58-632;
- (2) K.S.A. 65-28,101 through 65-28,109; and
- (3) K.S.A. 65-4941 through 65-4948.

Kansas Comment

The Committee recommends the repeal of the statutes relating to durable power of attorney for health-care decisions (K.S.A. 58-625 *et seq.*), the natural death act (K.S.A. 65-28,101 *et seq.*), and statutes relating to do-not-resuscitate (DNR) directives (K.S.A. 65-4941 *et seq.*). The Committee heard testimony that DNR directives are sometimes signed by persons other than the purported declarant, which is arguably contrary to K.S.A. 65-4941 *et seq.* Instead of the use of DNR directives, the Committee agreed that DNR orders, which are signed by a physician and recognized in multiple settings, are a better vehicle for ensuring that resuscitation is not attempted where it is against the patient's wishes or is not medically justified. The concurrence of a patient, patient's family, or patient's surrogate with a physician's DNR order can be documented by means other than a DNR directive.